

United States Patent and Trademark Office

len

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,635	04/08/2004	Tsontcho Ianchulev	17391-002001	8819
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 04/10/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			STULTZ, JESSICA T	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 Г	AYS	04/10/2007	PAF	PEP

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/820,635	IANCHULEV, TSONTCHO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jessica T. Stultz	2873			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINGS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate operator of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. Period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI Ty statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Only be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			• •			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	l .	•			
' =		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a		rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice u	•	•			
Disposit	ion of Claims	·				
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
-,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		į			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	:			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer	:			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		v the Examiner			
,,_	Applicant may not request that any objection	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	,	• •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		: :			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 H S C & :	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority under 30 0;0.0. g	113(a)-(a) or (i).			
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· ·				
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	, ,					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		omal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/820,635

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for selecting the power of an intraocular lens, classified in class 351, subclass 246.
- II. Claims 12-21, drawn to an apparatus for performing intraocular implant surgery classified in class 606, subclass 107.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product. Specifically, the method for selecting the power of an intraocular lens can be practiced with an apparatus that does not comprise a surgical apparatus for performing intraocular implant surgery, as evidenced in claim 4, wherein the method is used for patients that have previously undergone vision correcting refractive surgery.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,635

Art Unit: 2873

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica T. Stultz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2339. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,635

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jessica T Stultz

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2873

April 2, 2007